

Awards Involving Third Parties Policy

Section 1 - Policy

(1) Charles Sturt University (the University) has a direct interest in a variety of arrangements through which students gain awards either from the University or from another accredited body. Awards and testamurs in which the University has a direct interest include the following categories:

- a. a course leading to an award of the University that is either delivered completely by the University or delivered wholly or in part by another body but where the role of the other body is not acknowledged. This includes a the University double degree program. (the University program, Licensed program);
- b. a course leading to an award of the University that is delivered wholly or in part by another body and/or in collaboration with another body (where collaboration means that the body has made a significant contribution to the intellectual content to the course leading to the award) and the role of the other body is acknowledged. (the University program, Licensed program, Collaborative program);
- c. a joint award of the University and another the University or equivalent award-conferring educational institution which is based on a program accredited by both the University and the other body (joint program);
- d. a program in which the University and another award-conferring educational institution each contribute and deliver part of the content of the program from their own award course and which leads to both of the awards from which the content of the program is drawn (integrated program); and
- e. an award that is conferred by another body under its authority to offer such awards and in which the the University contributes to the intellectual content of the course or has a role in delivering the program leading to that award.

(2) All awards in Categories A to D are conferred by the Council under the authority vested in it by the [Charles Sturt University Act 1989](#). Guidelines for the content and design of testamurs that show the role of the the University in all of the above categories of award are set out below.

(3) A Register of Courses for which the Role of Another Body will be Acknowledged on the the University Testamur will be maintained by the Office of Academic Governance. This will specify those courses that are identified by the relevant Faculty and approved by the Academic Senate as coming under Categories B or C.

Category A: A the University Award

(4) This is a the University award in all respects, including being a course, or based on a course, accredited by the the University through its normal processes. The quality assurance processes which apply for such an award are summarised in Table A.

(5) Included in this category are all courses offered through partnerships where the other body is responsible for teaching some or all of the subject material but where it has not sought acknowledgment of its role on the testamur.

Table A: Responsibilities for Quality Assurance Processes for the University Awards

Key Quality Assurance Processes	Body Primarily Responsible for the Process for the University Awards
Accreditation/Re-accreditation	Faculty Courses Committee or Senate via Research Advisory Committee

Approval to Offer	University Course Planning Committee
Eligibility/Selection/Credit	Faculty Notes: The Faculty may delegate responsibility to Division of Student Administration or the Admissions Office for some or all of determination of eligibility/selection/determination of credit in accordance with Faculty policy .
Application of Regulations	Division of Student Administration
Assessment/Results	Faculty
Recording of Results	Division of Student Administration
Eligibility for Award	Faculty/ Executive Dean
Conferral of Award	Council

Category B: A the University Award Offered Through Another Body and/or in Cooperation with Another Body (where Cooperation means that Significant Intellectual Content is Provided by Another Body).

(6) This is a the University award in all respects, including being based on a course accredited by the University through its normal processes and meeting the other quality assurance processes listed in Table A.

(7) This type of award will normally apply only where:

- a. another body delivers a the University course and where the other body takes responsibility for the teaching of some or all of the program;
- b. another body cooperates with the University (where cooperation means that the other body provides significant intellectual property to the curriculum of a the University course) and may also take responsibility for the teaching of some or all of the course; or
- c. the University delivers an award course to employees of the other body, or member of an association under contract to that body; and

where the other body seeks acknowledgment of that role and it is deemed appropriate by the Academic Senate to do so on the testamur for the award.

(8) In this category of award, the testamur will clearly identify the award as an award of the University, and the name and role of the other body will be acknowledged.

(9) In addition, where the other body is:

- a. a or a similar body that is accredited to confer degrees at Bachelor level or higher; or
- b. a professional association or similar body whose primary role is the maintenance of the standing of a profession;

the logo of the other body may be included on the testamur for the award.

(10) Acknowledgement of the name, role and (where appropriate) logo of another body will normally only be made to one other body on each testamur.

(11) The content and design of the testamur will be adapted from that stipulated in the Charles Sturt University's Visual Style Policy and will be approved by the University Secretary.

Category C: A Joint Award of the University and Another University or other Body

(12) Joint awards can only be offered with another university or other body when that other body has authority to

make the particular award in its own right.

(13) The course leading to a joint award will be accredited by the University through its normal accreditation processes, and the responsibilities for quality assurance processes within the University will be as in Table B.

Table B: Responsibilities within the University for Quality Assurance Processes for the University Awards

Key Quality Assurance Processes	Body Primarily Responsible for the Process for Joint Awards Notes: Joint awards are only possible when both the University and the other body each have authority to accredit the award concerned.
Accreditation/Re-accreditation	Faculty Courses Committee or Academic Senate via Research Advisory Committee
Approval to Offer	UCPC
Eligibility/Selection/Credit	Faculty Notes: The Faculty may delegate responsibility to Division of Student Administration or the Admissions Office for some or all of determination of eligibility/selection/determination of credit in accordance with the Faculty policy .
Application of Regulations	SAO
Assessment/Results	Faculty in consultation with JCMC 1
Recording of Results	SAO
Eligibility for Award	Faculty/Executive Dean in consultation with JCMC Notes: Joint Course Management Committee where such a joint committee exists.
Conferral of Award	Council

(14) It would be anticipated that the course leading to a joint award would also need to meet the accreditation and other quality assurance processes of the other body.

(15) With the approval of the University Secretary, the standard form of testamur may be amended appropriately, but will include the University's symbol, name, seal and at least two signatures, viz. the Chancellor or Vice-Chancellor, and the University Secretary. The statutory or other legal requirements of the other body will also need to be included on the testamur.

Category D: An Award Conferred by the University as part of an Integrated Program with Another Award-Conferring Institution

(16) This category of award is a the University award in all respects, including being a course accredited by the the University through its normal processes. The quality assurance processes which apply for such an award are summarised in Table A (see also below). It is delivered as part of an integrated program in which the the University and another award-conferring body each contribute and deliver part of the content of the program from their own award course and which leads to both of the awards from which the content of the program is drawn on the basis that the course requirements for both awards are met in the integrated program. Students in an integrated program enrol concurrently in both award courses.

(17) In some programs with overseas universities, the Integrated Program Agreements which lead on successful completion to the awarding of both the the University award and the overseas university's award, are referred to as "Dual Degree Agreements".

Table B: Responsibilities within the University for Quality Assurance Processes for the University Awards

Key Quality Assurance Processes	Body Primarily Responsible for the Process for Integrated Awards Notes: Integrated programs are only possible when both the University and the other body each have authority to accredit the award concerned.
---------------------------------	--

Accreditation/Re-accreditation	Faculty Courses Committee's or Ac Sen via Research Advisory Committee
Approval to Offer	UCPC
Eligibility/Selection/Credit	Faculty Notes: The Faculty may delegate responsibility to Division of Student Administration or the Admissions Office for some or all of determination of eligibility/selection/determination of credit in accordance with Faculty policy .
Application of Regulations	SAO
Assessment/Results	Faculty in consultation with JCMC 1
Recording of Results	SAO
Eligibility for Award	Faculty/Executive Dean in consultation with JCMC Notes: Joint Course Management Committee where such a joint committee exists.
Conferral of Award	Council

(18) It would be anticipated that the content of the integrated program would also need to meet the accreditation and other quality assurance processes of the other body.

(19) The standard the University testamur will be awarded for the the University course in the program.

Category E: An Award Conferred by Another Body and in which the the University has an Academic Involvement in the Course

(20) This category of award is one that will be conferred by the other body under its authority to offer such awards but where the the University contributes to the intellectual content of the course or has a role in delivering the program of study leading to the award.

(21) This would include an award conferred by another university or an accredited private provider or an award within the Australian Recognition Framework conferred by a body under its authority to offer such awards (e.g. Vocational Education and Training (VET) awards offered by a body which has Registered Training Organisation (RTO) status).

(22) An example of this last category of award would be a VET Certificate awarded by an organisation or association under its RTO status, where that organisation was a client of the University and the University brokered the program leading to the award.

(23) The quality assurance processes of the awarding body will have primary place, with the University being responsible for those of its quality assurance processes that are relevant to its acknowledged role in the course.

(24) Where the University wishes to have its role acknowledged on the testamur for the award, it would be bound by the other body's policy on acknowledgment of another institution on its testamurs. Where the body seeks the University's input on testamur layout, the layout and wording for award category B should be proposed.

Summary of program types

(25) The various types of programs described above are summarised in the following table. Where a Faculty and/or partner wish to use terminology for any of these categories that differs from the terms used below, for example where for cultural reasons an approved term is inappropriate, they shall submit a request and rationale for the alternative terminology to the Academic Senate for approval.

(26) The table below shows 1) the various types of programs, with a suggested term for each, 2) whose award the program is and 3) the nature and content of the testamur in each instance.

Program	Award	Testamur
---------	-------	----------

the University program (in which content and delivery of a the University course are by the University) Note: this includes a the University double degree program	the University award Note: two the University awards, for double degree program	the University testamur Note: two the University testamurs, for double degree program
Licensed program (in which the delivery of a the University course is through another body)	the University award	the University testamur with: - no acknowledgment OR - acknowledgment in text OR - acknowledgment in text and with logo in accordance with policy above
Cooperative program 1. in which the other body contributes significant intellectual content to a the University course, and may also deliver all or part of the program)	1. the University award	1. the University testamur with: - no acknowledgment OR - acknowledgment in text OR - acknowledgment in text and with logo in accordance with policy above
2. In which the award is that of the other body, but the University contributes to the intellectual content and/or delivery of that award	2. Award of other body	2. Testamur of other body, according to that body's policy for testamurs. the University if requested would suggest award category B for its appearance on this testamur
Integrated program (in which the University and another award-conferring educational institution each contribute part of the content of the program from their own award course)	Two awards: the University award Award of the other body	Two testamurs: the University testamur Testamur of other body
Joint program (in which the University and another award-conferring educational institution each contribute part of the content of a single course)	Joint award (i.e., conferred jointly by the two bodies)	Joint testamur (i.e., both bodies' logos and signatures) or 2 testamurs which each note the nature of the joint single course award.

Status and Details

Status	Historic
Effective Date	24th May 2014
Review Date	24th January 2015
Approval Authority	Academic Senate
Approval Date	24th May 2014
Expiry Date	8th October 2014
Unit Head	Cassandra Webeck University Secretary +61 2 6338 4258
Author	Megan Bond Manager, Academic Senate
Enquiries Contact	Office of Academic Governance +61 2 63384831